USE OF MEG AND LDHI - PROOF OF CONCEPT

NEW JIP PROPOSAL - 2023/2024



1

HYDRATE INHIBITION ON GAS FIELDS AND CARBON FOOTPRINT

At the present time, gas supply - especially in Europe- is a key economical and geopolitical question and must be conjugated with the environmental concerns

- The hydrate management on deep offshore/offshore gas fields or offshore /onshore plant's long tiebacks ... is crucial to insure gas production
- MEG loops are a "solution of choice" to safely produce these gas fields, operating these loops require huge energy demand

Two questions arose then

- What is the carbon footprint to ensure these productions, and can it be decreased?
- Can CAPEX/OPEX be minimized for such developments?

Are the use of MEG + LDHI a viable way to reach these goals?



RESPONSIBLE OIL AND GAS

*LDHI = KHI: Kinetics Hydrate Inhibitor or AA: Anti-Agglomerant

Industrial context

Benefits for MEG + KHI:

- Reduce de carbon footprint related to MEG loops
- hydrate inhibition for high water-cuts without increasing MEG injection flow rates [1] Extend the operating time of a field
- Maintain the gas production and ensure hydrate inhibition of a line if available MEG flow rates are too low [2]
- Reduce MEG injections utilities/ MEG regeneration/reclaiming units

Benefits for MEG + AA

3

- Reduce de carbon footprint related to MEG loops
- Ensure the reliability of AA solutions for very low liquid hold up lines (possible Hydrates deposits at the Top of Line)
- Reduce MEG injections utilities/ MEG regeneration/reclaiming units

[1] Extending operating life with AA-MEG synergetic inhibition; UWA- Petrophase 2019

[2] Hydrate plug formation risk with varying watercut and inhibitor concentrations, Sohn et al., Chemical Engineering Science, 2015



*LDHI = KHI: Kinetics Hydrate Inhibitor or AA: Anti-Agglomerant

Current operational Barriers:

- Flow Assurance: reliability of the scenario (hydrate formation/deposition/transportability, restart conditions after a shutdown, hydrate dissociation)
- Impact of chemicals on water quality after water/oil separation
- Impact of chemicals on MEG regeneration/reclaiming process
- Environmental hazardous / products regulation
- Additives compatibility

Scientific barriers

- Optimal dosage of chemicals for efficiency
 - Ideal LDHI dosage in presence of a under-inhibited MEG system
 - Chemical additives partitioning between phases (aqueous, HC, liquid and gas)
- Crystallization
 - Formation kinetics (hydrates, salts...) and maximum conversion rates in multiphase flows
 - Hydrate particles agglomeration
 - Hydrate dissociation
- Impact on process
 - Impact on the HC/water separation
 - Interactions with the regenerating solvent (MEG/LDHI formulations)



*LDHI = KHI: Kinetics Hydrate Inhibitor or AA: Anti-Agglomerant

IFPEN proposal

3 main items of interest:

• Flow Assurance strategy assessment, evaluation of economic and carbon footprint gains

- Water quality
 - Water/oil separation and water phase quality: efficiency of water-treatment equipments (Hydrocyclone, Flotation, filtration...), efficiency of water clarifiers
 - Impact on MEG reclaiming process

MEG Reclaiming process (with Axens support)





RESPONSIBLE OIL AND GAS

*LDHI = KHI: Kinetics Hydrate Inhibitor or AA: Anti-Agglomerant *A first tentative of Technical scope: from lab scale to pilot scale*

- Lab scale studies
 - Physical-Chemistry: compliance of MEG and LDHI and system characterization
 - Hydrate tests with under- inhibited MEG system (HP reactor and small-scale flow loop)
 - Impact of a LDHI on hydrate crystallization kinetics and dissociation
 - Impact of AA on rheological behavior
 - Impact of LDHI on hydrate transportability (small flow loop)
- Pilot scale tests (with an optimized system)
 - Hydrate formation tests on the Lyre loop
 - Assess strategy reliability regarding Flow assurance under more representative conditions (single-phase flow/ multiphase flow, low liquid hold-up) – restartability after a shutdown.
- Carbon footprint

Comparison of different scenario in term of carbon footprint © 2022 IFPEN

RESPONSIBLE OIL AND GAS













TIMELINE AND BUDGET

RESPONSIBLE OIL AND GAS

- 1st Phase : proof of concept / MEG + AA12 months project
- Ticket cost: 55k€ / sponsor



Innovating for energy

Find us on:

www.ifpenergiesnouvelles.com

@IFPENinnovation

